Advanced Practice Clinicians' Perspective: Management of Patients on Oral Disease-Modifying Therapy for Multiple Sclerosis

Mary L Filipi, ¹ John F Kramer, ² Mary Margaret Hillstrand, ³ Teresa C Frohman, ⁴ Megan Weigel, ⁵ Akanksha Mittal, ⁶ Colleen E Miller ⁶

1MS Center of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE, USA; 2Wheaton Franciscan Regional MS Center, Milwaukee, WI, USA; 3Mary Margaret Hillstrand Neurology Practice, Anchorage, AK, USA; 4University of Texas Southwestern, Dallas, TX, USA; ⁵Baptist Neurology, Jacksonville Beach, FL, USA; ⁶Genzyme, a Sanofi company, Cambridge, MA, USA

RESULTS

stage (Figures 3-5)

Clinical Factors

✓ Current symptoms

use/response

✓ Childbearing potential

(patient and partner)

✓ John Cunningham virus status

Mid-term Considerations

✓ General health

✓ Magnetic resonance imaging

✓ Previous disease-modifying therapy

Short-term Considerations

initiating oral therapy (Figure 3)

INTRODUCTION

- Nurse practitioners (NPs) and physician assistants (PAs) collectively referred to as advanced practice clinicians (APCs) — are vitally important in the diagnosis and management of patients with MS
- APCs now form a significant arm of healthcare delivery in the United States: a 2010 report showed that the total number of APCs was three-quarters the size of the physician workforce, with an estimated 140,000 practicing NPs² and 83,000 practicing PAs³
- Effective treatment requires clinicians to be thoroughly knowledgeable of individual patient profiles and other key considerations when treating patients with MS in the current, rapidly evolving therapeutic landscape
- To this end, a unique panel of APCs was assembled from 30 different clinical practice settings (academia, free-standing MS centers, collaborative private practices, and independent NP practices) in the United States and Canada. The panel addressed issues of importance to patients with MS and their caregivers regarding oral diseasemodifying therapies (DMTs)

OBJECTIVE

• To develop comprehensive best practice guidelines for the management of patients receiving oral DMTs based on recommendations from an expert panel comprising APCs who specialize in treating and managing patients

METHODS

- The panel discussed in detail the oral DMTs available to patients with MS, and as an initial step, identified clinical and patient-centered aspects of the disease (eg, attitude, demographics, patient preference) that should be considered when profiling patients (Figure 1)
- Based on the patient profile, individuals may be classified as having the potential for a favorable, indeterminate, or poor outcome (Figure 2)
- In addition to the patient profile, the panel identified a number of other factors that have an important impact on treatment decisions, such as not insured/underinsured, low socioeconomic status, patient preference, previous experience with DMTs, and status regarding John
- Using workshop clinic scenarios, the presenters role-played, assuming the role of patients with varying profiles and at different stages of therapy. The attendees were asked to interact with these "patients" and identify practical considerations for management of patients, including considerations for treatment initiation, maintenance, and long-term follow-up
- Short-term considerations: Initiating oral therapy
- Cases for two patients considering starting oral therapy were described: one patient was treatment-naïve and the other had suboptimal response to prior DMTs
- Mid-term considerations: Ongoing patient management
- Cases for two patients who recently started oral therapy were described and role-played. Potential issues with ongoing treatment
- Long-term considerations: Adherence and safety follow-up
- Cases for two patients receiving oral therapy for ≥2 years were described and discussed

Figure 1. Factors Contributing to Patient Profile

Clinical Factors

- Drastic changes on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan (black holes, atrophy)
- Autoimmune comorbidities Breakthrough disease
- Positive antibody status (John Cunningham virus
- and/or neutralizing antibodies) Cervical spine lesion
- Brainstem lesion
- Cerebellar lesion • Pregnant/postpartum status
- Effects of poor relapse recovery on quality of life
- Long disease duration Abnormal liver function test result
- Physical examination changes Poor/incomplete
- relapse recovery Active disease
- Childbearing potential
- Clinically isolated syndrome (vs MS)
- Comorbidities New instability after long
- stable disease
- Complete relapse recovery
- Normal physical examination · Good liver function test result
- New instability after long

Normal neurological examination

ndeterminate

tatus, which may change over time or with treatment. Patients with indeter

e or worsen (far left green and red arrows), patients with a favorable profile may deteriorate to an indeterminate or poo

status (center yellow and red arrows), and patients with a poor profile may improve to indeterminate or favorable status (fa

- Sensory relapse Radiologically isolated syndrome
- (vs MS) Stable MRI results

Figure 2. Possible Patient Outcomes

Favorable

Patient-Centered Factors

- Poor motivation Poor patient acceptance of disease/drua
- Prior immunosuppressant
- Negative peer impact
- Poor patient–provider relationship
- Negative occupational impact Poor patient understanding
- of importance of medication
- Risk aversion
- Lost to follow-up Lack of understanding of
- treatment options Lack of home support
- Poor coping skills
- Poor patient understanding of disease consequences
- Lack of access to care
- Poor comprehension
- Smoker
- Psychosocial issues Patient concerns
- Highly motivated Good comprehension
- · Patient acceptance of disease/treatment
- Good patient understanding of disease
- Good coping skills

• Once a patient has initiated oral therapy, the panel concluded that key duration of stable disease Good patient–provider

concerns revolve around tolerability and keeping the patient on treatment long enough to determine its efficacy • Treatment-related adverse events (AEs) can have a negative impact on

• The APC panel developed specific management strategies for multiple

patients for the treatment of MS: disease activity; prior DMT use;

life and lifestyle choices; and patient concerns

Figure 3. Short-term Considerations Checklist

childbearing potential; general health; occupation; insurance; home

• The panel used these key patient factors and characteristics of emergent

• Based on feedback from two patients considering starting oral therapy,

the APC group identified key short-term considerations for patients when

Patient-Centered Factors

✓ Understanding of MS and

✓ Perception of treatment

✓ Occupational/home life

✓ Insurance coverage

responsibilities

✓ Lifestyle choices

✓ Patient concerns

consequences of not treating

DMTs to develop a detailed set of guidelines for each specific treatment

treatment approaches, emphasizing the needs of the individual patient

The following key factors were identified for consideration when evaluating

- multiple aspects of the patient's life, which in turn can negatively affect adherence. Potential AEs or other ongoing symptoms should be immediately addressed to help the patient adhere to treatment and to rule out other medical issues
- Also, patients may not be as knowledgeable about newer oral medications and may have unrealistic expectations, so education about treatment expectations and the importance of adherence may be helpful at this stage
- Based on feedback from two patients who recently started oral therapy, the APC group identified mid-term considerations for patients who have recently started oral therapy (Figure 4)

Figure 4. Mid-term Considerations Checklist

Clinical Factors

- ✓ Current symptoms
- ✓ Disease history/current activity
- ✓ Potential adverse events with current oral medication
- ✓ Comorbidities
- General health
- Lifestyle

Patient-Centered Factors

- ✓ Family plans
- ✓ Occupation
- ✓ Home life responsibilities
- ✓ Patient understanding of treatment and the implications of poor adherence
- ✓ Level of patient ownership/ initiative

Long-term Considerations

- The panel concluded that new or ongoing symptoms are an important concern because they could indicate disease progression
- Adherence is also a potential issue because patients can become complacent or discouraged
- Re-educating patients on the consequences of untreated disease may be necessary, and reminding them of their personal goals can help motivate them to stay on treatment. If there is disease progression, providers may discuss a treatment switch, bearing in mind the individual needs of
- Based on feedback from two patients receiving oral therapy for ≥2 years, the APC group identified long-term considerations for patients receiving oral therapy (Figure 5)

Figure 5. Long-term Considerations Checklist

Clinical Factors

- ✓ Current symptoms
- ✓ Disease history/current activity
- ✓ General health

Patient-Centered Factors

- ✓ Adherence
- ✓ Treatment expectations
- ✓ Occupation ✓ Lifestyle habits
- ✓ Patient motivators
- √ Family plans

CONCLUSIONS

- The heterogeneity of MS requires highly individualized treatment plans that go beyond just managing clinical aspects of the disease; patientcentered factors, such as the impact of the disease and/or treatment on occupation or home life, motivation to treat, and level of support, also need to be considered
- Through effective treatment and patient-management strategies, outcomes and quality of life for people living with MS can be improved considerably. Patients and healthcare providers should therefore work together to set treatment goals and expectations, identifying the means to achieve them
- The short-, mid-, and long-term considerations presented here provide NPs and PAs with guidance for employing unique and effective treatment options for patients with MS who are receiving oral DMTs. These considerations may help establish best practices for individualizing treatment in MS and managing patients on oral therapies. In addition, they may help to identify and address barriers to treatment adherence and assess the benefits and risks of initiating or switching treatment

REFERENCES

Acknowledgments

Disclosures

DISCIOSUIFES

MIF has received grant/research support from Biogen Idec and fees for non-CME services from commercial interest or tfrom Acrorda, Biogen Idec, Genzyme, a Sanoft company, Questroot, Teva, JFK has received consulting fees from Acrorda, Biogen Idec, CMD Serono, Genzyme and grant support from Stratify-2 Ms. TCF has received consulting fees from Acrorda, Biogen Idec, CMD Serono, Genzyme and grant support from Stratify-2 Ms. TCF has received consulting fees from Acrorda, Biogen Idec, Genzyme, Novartis, MW has received consulting fees from Conduction and Conduction Cond

