# Disease-modifying drug treatment before, during, and after pregnancy in women with multiple sclerosis and a live birth

### MK Houtchens,<sup>1</sup> NC Edwards,<sup>2</sup> AL Phillips<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Brookline, MA, USA; <sup>2</sup>Health Services Consulting Corporation, Boxborough, MA, USA; <sup>3</sup>EMD Serono, Inc.,\* Rockland, MA, USA

#### INTRODUCTION

- · Multiple sclerosis (MS) is up to three times more common in women than in men, and the clinical onset is often during childbearing years.
- · MS is more commonly diagnosed in women of childbearing age than in any other group.
- More evidence to support decision making in women with MS of childbearing age is needed.4
- A better understanding of the 'real-world' disease-modifying drug (DMD) treatment patterns in women with MS and a pregnancy is essential in order to improve available clinical support, healthcare services, and quality of life for women with MS of childbearing age.<sup>2</sup>

#### OBJECTIVES

- To evaluate DMD treatment before, during, and after pregnancy in women with MS and a live birth using US administrative claims data.
- To determine rates of, and time to, DMD initiation in women with MS and a live birth and no DMD treatment during the third trimester.

#### METHODS

#### Data description

- · This was a retrospective administrative claims database study using IMS Health Real World Data Adjudicated Claims - US data from between January 1, 2006 and June 30, 2015.
- · The database comprises complete, adjudicated, plan-level data including a complete inventory of a patient's prescriptions, inpatient hospital claims, and outpatient medical claims.
- The database consists primarily of commercial Preferred Provider Organization plans and can thus under-represent the patients on Medicaid or Medicare relative to patients on commercial plans (ie, the population aged >65 years).
- Approximately 150 million patients with a medical benefit, and a subset of 95 million patients with both medical and pharmacy benefits, are included in the database

#### Study population

- Patients were required to have at least one encounter with a diagnosis of MS (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification [ICD-9-CM] code: 340.xx), be female, and be aged 18-64 years as of the index date.
- · Women with MS were required to have at least one clinical encounter with a diagnosis of pregnancy or a pregnancy-related procedure (ie, applicable ICD-9-CM and Current Procedural Terminology codes<sup>5,6</sup>) from the index date to June 30, 2014.
- · Continuous eligibility was required from the pregnancy diagnosis minus 1 year to 1 year post-pregnancy diagnosis with no gaps in coverage
- · Patients were required to have a live birth procedure code to be included in the live birth sample.6
- The date of the live birth procedure was used to estimate the date of conception and the pregnancy periods. Continuous eligibility from 1 year before conception to 1 year after the live birth were required inclusion criteria for this cohort.
- · For the analysis of DMD initiation post live birth, patients were required to have no claims for a DMD during the third trimester

#### Data analysis

- Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics were evaluated.
- Baseline characteristics evaluated included age, region, and paver type Clinical characteristics evaluated included overall comorbidity as measured by the Charlson Comorbidity Index and the individual rates of the most common comorbidities in MS.7
- DMD treatment was evaluated during the year prior to pregnancy (at 3-month intervals), during the three trimesters of pregnancy, during the puerperium (6 weeks post-pregnancy), and at 1 year post-pregnancy (7-12 weeks post-pregnancy and 3-6, 6-9, and 9-12 months post-pregnancy) (Figure 1).

# Figure 1. Time periods evaluated for women with MS and a live birth<sup>a</sup> Conception date Delivery date

MS, multiple sclerosis n date and pregnancy periods are estimated based on the date of the live birth claim

- · The proportion of women exposed to DMD treatment during the 12 time periods was evaluated.
- · Results were stratified by the number of relapses women experienced in the year prior to pregnancy.
- For descriptive analyses, categorical variables were summarized using percentages. Continuous variables were summarized using means, standard deviations, and medians.

#### RESULTS

#### Sample selection

 Of the 205.466 women with MS in the claims database, a total of 2158 women fulfilled the inclusion criteria (ie, a pregnancy diagnosis claim, 1 year of continuous eligibility pre- and post-pregnancy diagnosis, and a live birth) and were included in the analysis (Figure 2).





#### **Baseline characteristics**

 The baseline demographics and clinical characteristics of women with MS and a live birth from 2006 to 2015 are presented in Table 1.

#### DMD treatment patterns in women with MS and a live birth

- The proportions of women receiving self-injectable, oral, or infusion DMD treatment before, during, and after their pregnancy are presented in Figure 3.
- Overall, the proportion of women with MS and a live birth receiving DMD treatment was low (range: 1.9-25.5%).
- The rate of DMD treatment declined during the pre-pregnancy and pregnancy periods - DMD treatment increased during the puerperium and postpartum periods, rising to
- pre-pregnancy treatment rates 3-6 months after a live birth - Most patients were treated with self-injectable DMDs (range: 1.7-19.6%); the use of oral and infusion DMDs in this sample was low (range: 0.1-3.1% and 0-0.2%,
- respectively) The rate of DMD treatment was higher in women who experienced a greater number
- of relapses during the year prior to pregnancy (range: 0 relapses, 1.4-21.7%; 1 relapse, 5.7–48.5%; 2 relapses, 4.6–46.1%; ≥3 relapses, 0–66.7%) (Figure 4) - The rate of DMD treatment was low during the second and third trimesters of
- pregnancy (range: 0 relapses, 1.4-2.3%; 1 relapse, 5.7-7.4%; 2 relapses, 4.6-6.2%; ≥3 relapses, 0-4.2%).
- Up to two-thirds of women experiencing ≥3 relapses in the year prior to pregnancy received DMD treatment during the pre-pregnancy and postpartum periods (range 37.5-66.7% and 29.2-58.3%, respectively).

characteristic	Value
ge	2159
Moon years (SD)	20.26 (4.69)
Median years	30.20 (4.08)
a grouping years	50
10 24	10.5
25.20	10.5
25-29	33.0
30-34 25 30	57.0
40.44	10.0
	2.0
≈40	0.05
Midweet	22.2
Nidwest	32.2
Northeast	29.0
South	30.6
west	0.2
surance, %"	
Commercial	98.6
Medicaid	1.3
Medicare	0.2
harlson Comorbidity Index score <sup>b</sup>	
Mean (SD)	0.21 (0.59)
Median	0
omorbidity, %	
Gastrointestinal diseases	13.1
Anxiety	12.3
Thyroid disease	10.8
Depression	10.1
Hyperlipidemia	7.8
Hypertension	5.7
Chronic lung disease	5.6
Diabetes	2.6
Arthritis	2.1
Alcohol disorder	0.2

Pre-pregnancy diagnosis

### Figure 3. Proportion of women with MS and a live birth receiving DMD treatmen before, during, and after pregnancy





## Figure 4, Proportion of women with MS and a live birth receiving DMD treatment before, during, and after pregnancy by number of pre-pregnancy relapses 2 relanses 0 relanses 1 relanse (n=1840)(n=229) (n=65)

DMD, disease-modifying drug; MS, multiple sclerosis. "Stratified by the number of relapses women experienced in the year prior to conception, as estimated by the date of the live birth.

- Of the 2094 women who did not receive DMD treatment in the third trimester, 596 (28.5%) initiated DMD treatment during the year after pregnancy - The cumulative rate of DMD treatment steadily increased during the postparture
- period (Figure 5).
- Approximately 50% of women initiated DMD treatment in the first 90 days after pregnancy.
- The proportion of women initiating DMD treatment was highest in those who experienced a greater number of relapses in the year prior to pregnancy (0 relapses, 24.5%; 1 relapse, 50.9%; 2 relapses, 54.1%; ≥3 relapses, 60.9%).
- Women were more likely to initiate DMD treatment if they were exposed to DMD treatment in the pre-pregnancy period, compared with women who did not receive DMD treatment in the pre-pregnancy period (72.6% vs 12.4%, respectively).
- The median time to DMD initiation was shorter in women exposed to DMD treatment pre-pregnancy compared with women not exposed to DMD treatment pre-pregnancy (84 days vs 122 days, respectively).

## Figure 5. Cumulative rate of DMD treatment in the year after pregnancy in women with MS and a live birth who did not receive DMD treatment in the third trimester (n=596)



DMD, disease-modifying drug; MS, multiple sclerosis

Copies of this poster obtained thro QR (Quick Response) code are personal use only and may not b the autho load the PDF

scan the QR code or go to

See 1 □×ぷ GET POSTER PDF





#### LIMITATIONS

- Claims data are not specifically collected for research purposes and missing information may limit the inferences that can be made from the data.
- The ICD-9-CM code for MS does not differentiate between different types of MS (eg, primary progressive MS, relapsing-remitting MS, or secondary progressive MS). Administrative claims databases provide information on patients with health insurance administered by regional health plans in the US and results may not be generalizable to patients who self-pay or patients without employer-sponsored commercial

#### CONCLUSIONS

health insurance.

- Per our knowledge, this is the first claims database study to evaluate DMD treatment in women with MS before, during, and after pregnancy in the US.
- The proportion of women with MS with a live birth receiving DMD treatment was low and declined during the pre-pregnancy and pregnancy periods
- The proportion of those receiving DMD treatment was greater in women with MS and a live birth who experienced  $\ge 1$  relapse in the pre-pregnancy period.
- In women who did not receive DMD treatment in the third trimester, approximately one-quarter initiated DMD treatment in the year after pregnancy; the proportion initiating treatment was greater in women with prior exposure to DMDs in the prepregnancy period.
- Claims data can be a valuable resource for examining a variety of health services research questions.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. National Multiple Sclerosis Society. Who Gets MS? (Epidemiology) Available at: http://www.nationalmssociety.org/What-is-MS/Who-Gets-MS Accessed February 27, 2017,
- 2. Bove R, et al. Obstet Gynecol 2014;124:1157-68
- 3. National Multiple Sclerosis Society, Pregnancy and Reproductive Issues. Available at: http://www.nationalmssociety.org/Living-Well-With-MS/Family-and-Relationships/ Pregnancy, Accessed February 27, 2017.
- 4. Wundes A, et al. Mult Scler Int 2014;2014:819216
- 5. Houtchens MK. et al. Presented at: ECTRIMS 2016; September 14-17, 2016; London, UK.
- 6. Knox CA. et al. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2014:14:28.
- 7. Marrie RA, et al. Mult Scler 2015;21:263-81

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Derek Lavery of Caudex, New York, NY, USA (supported by EMD Serono, Inc.,\* Rockland, MA, USA) for editorial assistance in drafting the poster, collating the comments of authors, and assembling tables and figures.

Study supported by EMD Serono, Inc.,\* Rockland, MA, USA

#### DISCLOSURES

MKH received funding support from EMD Serono, Inc.\*; received support for service on scientific advisory boards from Biogen, Sanofi Genzyme, Novartis, and Teva Neuroscience; and received research support from Sanofi Genzyme.

NCE is an employee of Health Services Consulting Corporation. Health Services Consulting Corporation received funding from EMD Serono, Inc.\* to run the analysis

ALP is an employee of EMD Serono, Inc.,\* Rockland, MA, USA

The authors received no funding for their authorship responsibilities in the development of this poster.